BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)		
)	No.	18-92-16884
KHOSRO VAHID, M.D.)		
Certificate No. A-42627)		•
)		
Respondent.) .		
)		
)		

DECISION

The attached Stipulation Settlement and Disciplinary

Order in case number 18-92-16884 is hereby adopted by the Division

of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California as its

decision in the above entitled matter.

Thi	s	Deci	sion	shall	become	effective	on .	April	23,	1997
						0				•
ΙT	IS	SO	ORDE	RED	March 24	, 1997				

DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALTY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

ANABEL ANDERSON IMBERT, M.D.

President

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General				
2	of the State of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE,				
3	Deputy Attorney General, State Bar California Department of Justice	No. 141267			
	300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90013				
	Telephone: (213) 897-8944				
	Attorneys for Complainant				
7	BEFORE TH	ne l			
,	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9	STATE OF CALIF	FORNIA			
10					
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:) Case No. 18-92-16884			
12	KHOSRO VAHID) OAH No. L-9608212)			
	201 N. Kenter Los Angeles, California 90049) STIPULATED SETTLEMENT) AND			
14	Physician and Surgeon No. A 42627,) DISCIPLINARY ORDER			
15	Physician Assistant Supervisor No. SA 22986)			
16	Respondent.	,			
17					
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	AGREED by and between the			
19	parties to the above-entitled procee	dings that the following			
20	matters are true:	·			
21	1. An Accusation in case number 18-92-16884, OAH No.				
22	L-9608212, was filed with the Division of Medical Quality, of the				
	Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs (the				
23					
23	"Division") on July 31, 1996, and is	currently pending against			
	"Division") on July 31, 1996, and is Khosro Vahid (the "respondent").	currently pending against			
24	Khosro Vahid (the "respondent").	cher with all statutorily			

July 31, 1996, and respondent filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation on or about August 9, 1996. A copy of Accusation No. 18-92-16884 is attached as Exhibit "A" and hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

- 3. The Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California and brought this action solely in his official capacity. The Complainant is represented by the Attorney General of California, Daniel E. Lungren, by and through Deputy Attorney General Karen B. Chappelle.
- 4. The respondent is represented in this matter by Robert Gans, Esq., whose address is 433 N. Camden Drive, Suite 600, Beverly Hills, California 90210.
- 5. The respondent and his attorney have fully discussed the charges contained in Accusation Number 18-92-16884, OAH No.L-9608212, and the respondent has been fully advised regarding his legal rights and the effects of this stipulation.
- 6. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under Physician and Surgeon No. A 42627. Respondent is a supervisor of a physician assistant, Physician Assistant License No. SA 22986.
- 7. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and that, if proven at hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's certificate.

 Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront and

cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to reconsideration, appeal and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. Respondent knowingly, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and give up each of these rights.

- 8. Respondent denies the allegations contained in the Accusation No. 18-92-16884, OAH No. L-9608212, and agrees that if the allegations are proven true, respondent has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's certificate to disciplinary action. Respondent agrees to be bound by the Division's Disciplinary Order as set forth below.
- 9. Based on the foregoing admissions and stipulated matters, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following order:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon number A 42627 issued to Khosro Vahid is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for three years on the following terms and conditions.

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

1. RELINOUISHMENT OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT SUPERVISOR

Within 15 days from the effective date of this decision, respondent shall relinquish Physician Assistant Supervisor Certificate No. SA 22986 to the Board, and shall not

engage in any form of Physician Assistant Supervision forthwith.

2. COMMUNITY SERVICES - FREE SERVICES

respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its

After successful completion of the oral clinical examination,

prior approval a community service program in which respondent shall provide free medical services on a regular basis to a

community or charitable facility or agency for at least 20 hours

a month for the first six months of probation.

EDUCATION COURSE

CERTIFICATE

Within 90 days from the effective date of this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval an educational program or course to be designated by the Division, which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of This program shall be in addition to the Continuing probation. Medical Education requirements for re-licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the

course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of continuing medical education of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division or its designee.

4. ETHICS COURSE

Within 60 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Ethics approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

5. ORAL CLINICAL OR WRITTEN EXAM

Respondent shall take and pass an oral clinical exam, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2293, in the subject matter of pediatrics administered by the Division, or its This examination shall be taken within 90 days after designee. the effective date of this decision. If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be allowed to take and pass a second examination, which may consist of a written as well as an oral examination. The waiting period between the first and second examinations shall be at least three months. respondent fails to pass the first and second examinations, respondent may take a third and final examination after waiting a period of one year. Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within 18 months after the effective date of this decision shall constitute a violation of probation. respondent shall pay the costs of all examinations.

_. ′

If respondent fails to pass the first examination, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a repeat examination has been successfully passed, as evidenced by written notice to respondent from the Division or its designee.

6. OBEY ALL LAWS

Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

7. QUARTERLY REPORTS

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

8. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE
Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation
surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the
Division informed of his or her addresses of business and
residence which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes
of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to
the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box
serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than

thirty (30) days.

9. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S)

Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

10. TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE, RESIDENCE OR IN-STATE NON-PRACTICE

In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

11. COMPLETION OF PROBATION

Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

12. VIOLATION OF PROBATION

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

13. COST RECOVERY

The respondent is hereby ordered to reimburse the Division the amount of \$7,000 over the three year period of probation in quarterly increments from the effective date of this decision for its investigative and prosecution costs. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of its investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.

1.2

23 | / / /

24 | / / /

25 | / / /

14. PROBATION COSTS

Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at \$2,304, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and designated probation surveillance monitor at the beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.

15. LICENSE SURRENDER

Following the effective date of this decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may voluntarily tender his certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, respondent will no longer be subject to terms and conditions of probation.

CONTINGENCY

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or his counsel. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the

stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation. **ACCEPTANCE** I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney, Robert Gans, Esquire. I understand the effect this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order will have on my Physician and Surgeon, and agree to be bound thereby. I enter this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily. KHOSŔO Respondent I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and approve of it as to form and content. have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters therein with respondent Khosro Vahid. Robert Gans, Esquire Attorney for Respondent

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ENDORSEMENT

EXHIBIT A

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California KAREN B. CHAPPELLE, Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice				
2					
3					
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, California 90013-1204 Telephone: (213) 897-8944				
5	Attorneys for Complainant				
7	BEFORE THE				
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA				
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 18-92-16884 Against:				
12,)				
13	KHOSRO VAHID, M.D. 185 S. Euclid Ave., Suite #20 Pasadena, California 91101)				
14					
15	Physician's and Surgeon's) Certificate No. A 42627,) Physician Assistant Supervisor)				
16	Certificate No. SA 22986,				
17	Respondent.)				
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
19	The Complainant alleges:				
20	<u>PARTIES</u>				
21	1. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director				
22	of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and				
23	brings this accusation solely in his official capacity.				
24	2. On or about April 21, 1986, Physician's and				
25	Surgeon's Certificate No. A 42627 was issued by the Board to				
26	Khosro Vahid (hereinafter "respondent"), and at all times				
27	relevant to the charges brought herein, this license has been in				

full force and effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on December 31, 1997.

3. On or about May 9, 1994, Physician Assistant Supervisor Certificate No. SA 22986 was issued by the Board to respondent, and at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, this license has been in full force and effect. Unless renewed, it will expire on December 31, 1997.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"):
 - A. Section 2227 provides that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.
 - B. Section 2234 provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
 - "(c) Repeated negligent acts.
 - "(d) Incompetence.
 - "(e) The commission of any act involving

dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.

- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
 - C. Section 651 of the Code provides:
- "(a) It is unlawful for any person licensed under this division or under any initiative act referred to in this division to disseminate or cause to be disseminated, any form of public communication containing a false, fraudulent, misleading, or deceptive statement or claim, for the purpose of or likely to induce, directly or indirectly, the rendering of professional services . . .
- "(b) A false, fraudulent, misleading, or deceptive statement or claim includes a statement or claim which does any of the following:
 - "(1) Contains a misrepresentation of fact.
 - "(2) Is likely to mislead or deceive because of a failure to disclose material facts.

" . . .

- "(4) Relates to fees, other than a standard consultation fee or a range of fees for specific types of services, without fully and specifically disclosing all variables and other material factors. . . .
- "(c) Any price advertisement shall be

exact . . . Price advertising shall not be fraudulent, deceitful, or misleading, including statements or advertisements of bait, discount, premiums, gifts, or any statements of a similar nature.

" . . .

"(g) Any violation of any provision of this section by a person so licensed shall constitute good cause for revocation or suspension of his or her license or other disciplinary action.

n = -n

D. Section 725 of the Code provides in pertinent part:

"Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon . . . "

- E. Section 810 of the Code provides in pertinent part:
 - "(a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or certificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his professional activities:

- "(1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
- "(2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any such claim.
- "(b) It shall constitute cause for revocation or suspension of a license or certificate for a health care professional to engage in any conduct prohibited under Section 1871.1 or 1871.4 of the Insurance Code.
- "(c) As used in this section, health care professional means any person licensed or certified pursuant to this division . . . "
 - F. Section 2261 of the Code provides:

"Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine or podiatry which falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts, constitutes unprofessional conduct."

G. Section 2262 of the Code provides in pertinent part:

"Altering or modifying the medical record of any person, with fraudulent intent, or creating any false medical record, with fraudulent intent, constitutes unprofessional conduct. . . ."

H. Section 2273 of the Code provides:

"Except as otherwise allowed by law, the employment of runners, cappers, steerers, or other persons to procure patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

I. Section 125.3 provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Dissemination of Public Communication Containing False Statements)

- 5. Respondent Khosro Vahid is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234, subdivision (e) and 651, subdivisions (a), (b), (c), and (g), of the Code in that he committed fraudulent, dishonest, or acts corrupt by disseminating or causing to be disseminated public communications containing false, fraudulent, misleading and deceptive statements related to the cost of physical examinations for the purpose of inducing patients to seek and receive his services. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. Employees of respondent's medical practice,
 Comprehensive Medical Clinic, hereinafter, "Comprehensive",
 located in Pasadena, California, placed telephone calls to
 prospective consumers of medical services, offering medical

- C. In January or February 1991, patient D.H. was solicited by telephone to make an appointment at Comprehensive, for a physical examination, and was informed by the telephone solicitor that the examination would be free if she had medical insurance. The telephone solicitor took all of the patient's insurance information before scheduling the examination.
- D. Patient D.H. responded to this solicitation and underwent a physical examination with respondent at Comprehensive in February 1991. Per her medical records, patient D.H. was seen about six additional times between April 1991 and July 1992.
- E. Respondent then billed the patient's insurance company for services exceeding the examination solicited without having disclosed to the patient beforehand that such services would be rendered and charged. Her insurance company, Prudential Financial Group, was billed approximately \$5,278.00 for 60 procedures.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Excessive Use of Diagnostic Procedures - D.H.)

6. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 725 of the Code for engaging in unprofessional conduct by committing acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures

^{1.} All patient references in this pleading are by initials only. The true name of the patient shall be revealed to respondent upon his request for discovery pursuant to Government Code section 11507.6.

in the treatment of patient D.H. The circumstances are as follows:

1.

1.0

- A. In February 1991, patient D.H., a healthy 20 year-old female who had responded to a telephone solicitation, visited respondent's place of practice, Comprehensive Medical Clinic, located at 185 S. Euclid, Pasadena, to obtain a free routine physical examination.
- B. Respondent diagnosed and charted conditions which did not exist, such as ischemic chest disease, r/o
 UTI, peripheral vascular disease, varicose veins, a history of leg cramps, cold hands and feet, and irregular menstruation with heavy bleeding. Patient D.H. did not check any cardiovascular symptoms on her history form and the only gastrointestinal symptoms she checked were heartburn and hemorrhoids. Patient D.H. had no chest pain or abdominal pain. There were no complaints, symptoms, examination findings or prior history to support respondent's diagnoses.
- C. Respondent subjected patient D.H. to excessive, unnecessary and inappropriate testing and diagnostic procedures including an abdominal ultrasound, pelvic ultrasound, renal ultrasound, allergy panel, electrocardiogram, stress test, echocardiogram, carotid artery studies, peripheral arterial studies of both the arms and legs, venous studies, chest and hand x-rays, extensive blood studies, Doppler blood test, pulmonary function testing and urinalysis. On a subsequent visit, respondent

ordered tests including CXR and CT of the head. Patient D.H. was referred by respondent for treatment of back aches although she had no current or prior injury. The patient went for back treatment about three or four times, although her insurance company was billed for additional visits. Respondent also wanted the patient to have a mammogram even though she had no problems with her breasts and did not have a family history of breast cancer. The patient declined to have the mammogram.

D. The billing records from Prudential Financial Group indicate that patient D.H. was billed a total of \$5,278.00 for 60 procedures between February 1991 and July 1992.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Excessive Use of Diagnostic Procedures - C.W.)

- 7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 725 of the Code for engaging in unprofessional conduct by committing acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures in the treatment of patient C.W. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. Patient C.W. was referred to Comprehensive by patient D.H. Patient C.W. made an appointment for a pap smear. When she made her appointment, the patient was told by the receptionist that the cost would be a flat fee of \$25.00 for the first visit and they would then accept as payment in full whatever amount her insurance paid.
 - B. Patient C.W. went to Comprehensive Medical

9.

Clinic, located at 185 S. Euclid, in Pasadena, on April 11, 1991, and was seen by respondent for a physical examination.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- C. Respondent diagnosed and charted conditions which did not exist, such as history of colitis, kidney problems, and vaginitis.
- Respondent subjected patient C.W. to excessive, unnecessary and inappropriate testing and diagnostic procedures including a complete blood test, a KUP, chest x-rays, electrocardiogram, echocardiogram, renal ultrasound, pelvic ultrasound, abdominal ultrasound, carotid ultrasound, doppler, quantitative venous flow study, cardiovascular stress test and urinalysis. On her second visit, on April 16, 1991, respondent further subjected patient C.W. to another blood and urine sample and a uterine When the patient questioned respondent regarding sonogram. the necessity of such tests, respondent replied that some of the test results were "unclear" and would need to be repeated to make sure nothing was wrong. No reason was given for the sonogram. At a third and final visit, at a different location, patient C.W. had a mammogram which was ordered by respondent.
- E. The billing records from Prudential Financial Group indicate that the C.W.'s insurance carrier was billed a total of \$4808.00 for 46 procedures over six service dates beginning April 11 and ending May 16, 1991. However, patient C.W. had only three, not six, medical appointments with respondent at respondent's clinic.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

2 | (Gross Negligence - D.H.)

8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code for committing acts of gross negligence in that he excessively used diagnostic procedures in the treatment of patient D.H. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraph 6 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Gross Negligence - C.W.)

9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b) of the Code for committing acts of gross negligence in that he excessively used diagnostic procedures in the treatment of patient C.W. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraph 7 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c) of the Code for committing repeated negligent acts in that he excessively used diagnostic procedures in the treatment of patients D.H. and C.W. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraphs 6 and 7 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

25 | / / /

26 | / / /

27 | / / /

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Incompetence - D.H.)

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (d) of the Code for committing acts of incompetence in that he excessively used diagnostic procedures in the treatment of patient D.H. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraph 6 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Incompetence - C.W.)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (d) of the Code for committing acts of incompetence in that he excessively used diagnostic procedures in the treatment of patient C.W. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraph 7 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Dishonesty - D.H.)

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (e), of the Code for committing acts of dishonesty in that he excessively used diagnostic procedures in the treatment of patient D.H. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraph 6 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Dishonesty - C.W.)

14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under

1.4

Τ /

section 2234, subdivision (e) of the Code for committing acts of dishonesty in that he excessively used diagnostic procedures in the treatment of patient C.W. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraph 7 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Insurance Claims - D.H.)

15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under 810 of the Code for Knowingly presenting false and fraudulent claims for payment of a loss under an insurance contract and for knowingly preparing writings in support of such claims. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraphs 6 and 8 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Insurance Claims - C.W.)

16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 810 of the Code for knowingly presenting false and fraudulent claims for payment of a loss under an insurance contract and for knowingly preparing writings in support of such claims. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraph 7 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Signing Document Which Falsely Represents Facts - D.H.)

17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2261 of the Code for knowingly making or signing a document which falsely represents the existence of a state of facts. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraphs 6

and 8 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Signing Document Which Falsely Represents Facts - C.W.)

18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under 2261 of the Code for knowingly making or signing a document which falsely represents the existence of a state of facts. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraph 7 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Creating a False Medical Record - D.H.)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2262 of the Code for creating a false medical record with fraudulent intent. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraphs 6 and 8 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Creating a False Medical Record - C.W.)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under 2262 of the Code for creating a false medical record with fraudulent intent. The circumstances are set forth fully in paragraph 7 above, and are incorporated herein by reference.

- / / /
- 24 / / /

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 25 / / /
- 26 / / /
- 27 | / / /

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision:

1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 42627, heretofore issued to respondent Khosro

- 2. Revoking or suspending Physician Assistant Supervisor Certificate No. SA 22986, heretofore issued to respondent Khosro Vahid, M.D.;
- 3. Ordering respondent to pay the Division the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case; and,
- 4. Taking such other and further action as the Division deems necessary and proper.

DATED: July 31, 1996

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Vahid, M.D.;

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a:\vahid1.acc

7/25/96

26

27

Ron Joseph

Executive Director

Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant